


PATENT
5150-51300

"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING
LABEL NUMBER EL675026025US
DATE OF DEPOSIT NOVEMBER 20,
2000

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS
PAPER OR FEE IS BEING
DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED
STATES POSTAL SERVICE
"EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO
ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37
C.F.R. § 1.10 ON THE DATE
INDICATED ABOVE AND IS
ADDRESSED TO THE
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
AND TRADEMARKS,
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231


Derrick Brown

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR USER CONTROLLABLE PID AUTOTUNING AND
ASSOCIATED GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACE

By:

Sundeeep Chandhoke

09716892-112000

Generally, PID control systems form a PID control loop, which includes a PID controller and a process which is to be controlled. A process variable PV associated with the process is measured and compared to a set point value SP. An error value, defined as the difference of the set point and the process value, is supplied as the input to the PID controller, thus forming a feedback loop. The output of the PID controller drives the process. Gain values are applied to the error feedback and determine the damping level of the system. A strongly under-damped system will tend to overshoot the target equilibrium value, while a strongly over-damped system will tend to approach the target equilibrium value very slowly.

One problem with autotuning a PID controller is that typically the autotuning algorithm is "hardwired" to tune the PID controller for an optimum operation such that the system remains stable. In other words, the user has no way of specifying how he/she wants the system to be auto-tuned. This capability would be very desirable in many systems. For example, in the field of motion control there may be different requirements for the control system based on the type of motor/drive and mechanical fixture being controlled. For example, some systems may tolerate overshoot (due to under-damping) up to 50%, while others may only tolerate overshoot up to 10%.

Users can generally describe the characteristics desired in a tuned PID controller in plain English, for example "I want my motor to respond fast, however I cannot tolerate too much overshoot". However, users are generally unable to quantify these characteristics in terms of PID controller gain values to achieve the desired response from their systems.

Thus, it would be desirable for a PID controller autotuning algorithm to be configurable by a user. It would be further desirable for the user to configure the autotuning algorithm through a graphical user interface. Therefore improved systems and methods are desired for user configurable autotuning of PID controllers.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention comprises various embodiments of a system and method for allowing user configuration of an autotuning algorithm for autotuning a PID controller.

5 The PID control loop includes the PID controller and a process under control. The input of the PID control loop is compared to a process variable supplied by the process. The result of the comparison is supplied to the PID controller, and the PID controller drives the process.

In one embodiment, user input is received indicating a desired characteristic of a
10 PID controller autotuning algorithm. The user input may be received through one or more of a variety of interfaces, including GUI, command line interface, voice recognition, analog or digital controls, handwriting recognition, or any other type of user interface. In a preferred embodiment, a GUI is used to receive the user input. Slider controls may provide the user means to characterize the desired control system, where one slider control specifies
15 system stiffness, ranging from 0 (very stiff) to 1 (very smooth). Another slider control may allow the user to specify response time, ranging from 0 (very slow) to 1 (very fast). It should be noted that as one slider control is moved toward one extreme, the other may automatically move in the opposite direction due to the fact that the specified parameters (stiffness and response time) vary inversely to one another.

20 The system may be excited via a proportional controller (P-controller) to characterize the intrinsic behavior of the system, i.e., to calculate a system transfer function, which codifies the undamped system behavior in response to a standard stimulus. The user may then provide input indicating a desired characteristic of the PID autotuning algorithm as described above. An autotuning algorithm, such as Ziegler-Nichols technique, may then
25 be configured or modified in accordance with the user input. In other words, the received user input characterizing the desired behavior of the control system is used to generate parameters of the autotuning algorithm, and these parameters are then applied to the autotuning algorithm to configure the algorithm for the specified characteristics.

The configured autotuning algorithm may be applied to the transfer function
30 mentioned above to generate gain values or PID controller parameters for the PID

controller. These gain values, when applied to the PID controller, may result in the PID controller characteristics or behavior specified by the user.

Finally, the PID controller parameters (gains) are loaded into the PID controller hardware (or software), thereby configuring the PID controller to operate according to the
5 desired characteristics specified by the user.

After the PID controller has been configured by the method described above, the user may optionally trigger and view a step response of the system to review the actual results of the tuning process. If the step response of the system is determined to be inadequate for the user's purposes, the user may repeat the process described above with
10 different input values to generate and test alternate configurations for the system.

09716892.112000

Brief Description of the Drawings

A better understanding of the present invention can be obtained when the following detailed description is considered in conjunction with the following drawings, in which:

5 Figure 1A illustrates a first embodiment of the system of the present invention which includes a computer and a process;

 Figure 1B illustrates a second embodiment of the system of the present invention which includes a computer, a process, and an external hardware controller unit;

 Figure 2 illustrates a PID control loop, according to one embodiment;

10 Figure 3 is a flowchart of an autotuning process, according to one embodiment; and
 Figures 4-6 are screen shots illustrating one embodiment of the autotuning system.

 While the invention is susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms, specific embodiments are shown by way of example in the drawings and will herein be
15 described in detail. It should be understood however, that drawings and detailed descriptions thereto are not intended to limit the invention to the particular forms disclosed. But on the contrary the invention is to cover all modifications, equivalents and alternatives following within the spirit and scope of the present invention as defined by the appended claims.

20

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

Incorporation by Reference

U.S. Patent No. 6,081,751 titled "System and Method for Closed Loop Autotuning
5 of PID Controllers" whose inventors are Rongfu Luo, S. Joe Qin, and Dapang Chen, and
which issued on June 27, 2000, is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety as
though fully and completely set forth herein.

Figures 1A and 1B: PID Autotuning Systems

10 Figure 1A illustrates a first embodiment of the system of the present invention
which includes a computer 100, and process 150. Computer 100 supplies actuating signals
to the process 150 through actuating bus 110. It is noted that a D/A conversion device (not
shown) is preferably included in this embodiment to convert the actuating signals from a
digital form to an analog form. Furthermore, computer 100 senses the process variable PV
15 through measurement bus 120. Data acquisition logic (not shown) such as an A/D
conversion device is preferably employed to convert the process variable from analog to
digital form. Thus, computer 100 operates on a stream of samples of the process variable
(PV).

In one embodiment, the PID controller may be implemented in software, i.e., a PID
20 controller program, which may be stored in a memory of the computer 100, and executed
by a processor comprised on the computer 100. An autotuning algorithm may also be
implemented in software, i.e., in an autotuning program, stored and executed on computer
100. In one embodiment, the autotuning software may include a user interface which
allows the user to provide input to the system which may be used to modify or configure the
25 autotuning algorithm. Therefore, the autotuning program may be executable to configure
the PID controller software in accordance with desired characteristics specified by the user.
Further details of the autotuning process are described below with reference to Figure 3. In
one embodiment, one or both of the PID controller and the autotuning algorithm may be
implemented in programmable logic, such as a field programmable gate array (FPGA).

30

09716892-112000

may be located in a second different computer which connects to the first computer over a network. In the latter instance, the second computer provides the program instructions to the first computer for execution. The memory medium may also be a distributed memory medium, e.g., for security reasons, where a portion of the data is stored on one memory
5 medium and the remaining portion of the data may be stored on a different memory medium.

Also, the computer system 100 described above may take various forms, including a personal computer system, mainframe computer system, workstation, network appliance, Internet appliance, personal digital assistant (PDA), or other device.
10 In general, the term "computer system" can be broadly defined to encompass any device having a processor which executes instructions from a memory medium.

The memory medium in one or more of the above systems thus may store a software program and/or data for autotuning the PID controller. A CPU or processing unit in one or more of the above systems executing code and data from a memory
15 medium comprises a means for executing the autotuning software program according to the method or flowchart described below with reference to Figure 3.

Various embodiments further include receiving or storing instructions and/or data implemented in accordance with the present description upon a carrier medium. Suitable carrier media include memory media as described above, as well as signals such as
20 electrical, electromagnetic, or other forms of analog or digital signals, conveyed via a communication medium such as networks and/or a wireless link.

In one embodiment, the memory medium may also store software which allows user controllable PID autotuning, i.e., which is executable to receive user input which may be used to configure an autotuning algorithm in accordance with operational characteristics
25 specified by the user. In one embodiment, the memory medium may further include software which is executable to display a Graphical User Interface (GUI). The GUI may be operable to receive the user input for configuring the autotuning algorithm/software. In other words, the memory medium may store software which provides an interface to the user for entering configuration information for the autotuning algorithm, possibly in
30 addition to the autotuning software itself. In one embodiment, the GUI may comprise one

or more user input controls which are operable to receive user input, including one or more of slider controls, data fields, radio buttons, menus, or any other type of user control which facilitates user input. In one embodiment, the interface software may include voice recognition software which is operable to receive spoken commands and parameters. In another embodiment, the interface software may include handwriting recognition software which is operable to receive written commands and parameters. In an alternate embodiment, the software may simply use a command line interface to receive user input, whereby the user may simply type text commands and numeric values for input.

10 Figure 2 - PID Control Loop

Figure 2 illustrates the structure of a PID control loop, according to one embodiment. PID control loop 200 includes PID controller 140 and process 150, which is to be controlled. A process variable PV associated with the process 150 is measured and compared to a set point value SP, which is the target equilibrium value of the process variable PV. An error value e , defined as the difference of the set point and the measured process value, is supplied as the input to the PID controller 140, thus forming a feedback loop. The output of the PID controller 140 drives the process 150. Gain values are applied to the error feedback and determine the damping level of the system. A strongly under-damped system will tend to overshoot the target equilibrium value, while a strongly over-damped system will tend to approach the target equilibrium value very slowly.

As mentioned above, the physical or behavior characteristics of different controlled systems may vary greatly, and thus the desired damping characteristics of the respective controllers may vary correspondingly. For example, a large heavy robotic arm has much greater inertia than a small light robotic arm, and so a controller for the large arm may minimize overshooting at the expense of response time, while the controller of the small arm may minimize response time and allow higher overshoot. The propensity of a system to overshoot the equilibrium value is directly related to its “stiffness”, i.e., the stiffer a system is, the greater it will tend to overshoot, although response time will generally be greater as well. Conversely, if a system is less stiff, i.e., is more “smooth”, then overshoot will be less, but the response time will be less as well. Thus stiffness and response time are

complementary or inversely related aspects of a system. This principal applies not only to mechanical systems, but to any dynamic system, including electronic, electrical, chemical, hydrodynamic, thermodynamic, or any other type of controlled system.

5 A controlled system's physical or behavior characteristics may be codified in a transfer function. Generally, a transfer function describes the characteristic response of a system to standard stimuli. Autotuning methods typically apply an autotuning algorithm, such as the Ziegler-Nichols technique, to a system's transfer function to generate or calculate appropriate gain values for the system controller. These gains may then be applied to the PID controller for operational control of the system. By varying parameter
10 values of the autotuning algorithm in response to user input, gain values may be determined which result in a control system of a desired stiffness, or with a particular response time.

Example

15 An example based upon the above-mentioned Ziegler-Nichols technique applied to a PID controller follows:

In addition to the normal gain values (P, I, and D) of a PID controller, a derivative sample period parameter (Td) is defined. Td is used as a multiplier of the PID sample period (PID update rate), in a preferred embodiment of the system. For low inertia systems, such as the small light robotic arm mentioned above, Td should be set to a small
20 value so that the derivative is calculated often enough to provide adequate damping for control servo loop stability.

Systems with higher inertia, such as the large heavy robotic arm mentioned above, can benefit from larger values of Td. The higher inertia means that the position error cannot change quickly, and so it is acceptable to calculate the derivative less often. This
25 means a lower value of D may be used with the same effective amount of damping, and the system will be smoother with less torque noise from the derivative term. In higher inertia systems, using a Td of zero, and therefore a larger value for D, simply results in increased torque noise and motor heating without any improvement in system stability.

30 As mentioned above, Zeigler-Nichols is the most popular method used for PID tuning. This method starts by zeroing the integral (I) and differential (D) gains and then

raising the proportional gain (P) until the system is unstable. The value of P at the point of instability is called Kmax and the frequency of oscillation is called fo. Based on Kmax and fo the Ziegler-Nichols method states that the PID gains for a stable system are:

The user input comprising the control characteristic is represented by the value d , where the value d is determined from the input control which receives the user input. The control characteristic specified by the user (response time or stiffness factor) is taken into account by modifying these equations as shown below:

Figure 3 - Flowchart Diagram Of An Autotuning Process

As Figure 3 shows, in 302 user input is received indicating desired characteristics of a PID controller, or of a PID controller autotuning algorithm. As described above, the user input may be received through one or more of a variety of interfaces, including GUI, command line interface, voice recognition, analog or digital controls, handwriting recognition, or any other type of user interface. In a preferred embodiment, a GUI is used to receive the user input. An example of such an interface is presented below with reference to Figures 4-6. In this embodiment, slider controls allow the user to characterize the desired behavior of the control system, where one slider control specifies system stiffness, ranging from 0 (very stiff) to 100% (very smooth). In this embodiment, another slider control specifies response time, ranging from 0 (very slow) to 100% (very fast). It should be noted that as one slider control is moved toward one extreme, the other moves in the opposite direction due to the fact that the specified parameters (stiffness and response time) vary inversely with respect to one another. In one embodiment, the GUI may include graphical icons illustrating the controlled characteristic determined by a user control. For example, for a slider control specifying response time, an icon of a snail may indicate one extreme (slow) of the slider control position/value, while a lightning bolt may indicate the other extreme of the slider control position/value, i.e., the shortest response time. Examples of such icons are shown in Figures 4-6.

In 304 the system is excited via a proportional controller to characterize the intrinsic behavior of the system, i.e., to calculate the system transfer function, described above with reference to Figure 2. It should be noted that in the preferred embodiment, the system is excited only with the proportional controller (the "P" in PID), and not with Integration (I) or Derivative (D) damping.

In 306 the autotuning algorithm, such as Ziegler-Nichols, is configured in accordance with the user input, according to the modified Ziegler-Nichols equations presented above. In other words, the user input received in 302 above which characterizes the desired behavior of the control system is used to generate parameters of the autotuning algorithm, and these parameters are then applied to the autotuning algorithm to configure the algorithm for the specified characteristics.

000277-26892-112000

In 308 the configured autotuning algorithm is applied to the transfer function generated in 304 above to generate gain values or PID controller parameters for the PID controller. These gain values, when applied to the PID controller, may result in the PID controller characteristics specified by the user in 302.

5 Finally, in 310 the PID controller parameters (gains) are loaded into the PID controller hardware (or software), thereby configuring the PID controller to operate according to the desired characteristics specified by the user in 302.

After the PID controller has been configured by the method described above, the user may optionally trigger and view a step response of the system to review the actual results of the tuning process. Examples of this step are presented below with reference to 10 Figures 4-6. If the step response of the system is determined to be inadequate for the user's purposes, the user may repeat the process described above with different input values to generate alternative configurations, and therefore different behaviors, for the system.

In one embodiment, the user may provide user input by "drawing" a desired step response on the display in step 302. In this embodiment, the method determines the 15 appropriate parameters for the PID autotuning algorithm that would tune the PID controller to produce a "closest fit" to the step response drawn by the user, i.e., the method derives the value d, mentioned above, from a curve fit of the user-drawn step response. Thus in 306, the autotuning algorithm (Ziegler-Nichols) is modified in accordance with the determined 20 appropriate parameters, producing a configured autotuning algorithm as used in 308.

Figures 4-6: Screen Shots Illustrating One Embodiment Of The Autotuning System

Figures 4-6 illustrate one embodiment of the system described above. More specifically, Figures 4-6 are screen shots of the Graphical User Interface (GUI) and signal 25 traces of step responses corresponding to various configurations of the PID controller.

As shown in Figure 4A, in one embodiment, the GUI includes two slider controls; one specifying "control type", which controls the stiffness of the controller, ranging from 0% (stiff) to 100% (smooth), and the other specifying "response time", which determines the response time of the controller. It should be noted that these two controls are redundant. 30 The stiffness and response time are complementary terms, i.e., they vary inversely to one

another, and so selecting a value for one control automatically determines the value of the other control. The use of both controls is intended to present the user with a choice of control terms to accommodate the user's intuition regarding the particular system being controlled, i.e., some system behaviors may be more easily conceptualized in terms of response time, while others may be more easily thought of in terms of stiffness. Note that in this particular screen shot, both slider controls are set at 50%.

As Figure 4A also shows, in one embodiment, the GUI may further include other features, such as an autotune trigger, axis selection, tuning method, axis status indicators, control parameters (gains) save/store, current trajectory data displays, and system status, among others.

As mentioned above, in one embodiment, the GUI may include graphical icons illustrating the controlled characteristic determined by a user control. As Figure 4A shows, for the slider control specifying response time, an icon of a snail indicates one extreme (slow) of the slider control position/value, while a lightning bolt indicates the other extreme of the slider control position/value, i.e., the shortest response time. Similarly, as Figure 4A shows, the slider control which determines control type includes signal icons illustrating stiff and smooth response characteristics indicating to the user the characteristic behavior determined from the slide control setting.

Figure 4B displays a signal trace of a step response of the system according to the configuration shown in Figure 4A. As the signal trace of Figure 4B shows, the step response is characterized by an initial ~40% overshoot of the setpoint (SP) value of 1000, followed by a moderately rapid settling to the setpoint, or equilibrium target value. As Figure 4B shows, in addition to the signal trace, the display may also include various other data displays, including display of transient response data such as step length, sample count, settling time, rise time, peak time, maximum overshoot, and/or any other useful information. The step response GUI may also include a step response trigger which may operate to trigger a step response, thereby generating the step response signal trace.

Figure 5A illustrates the GUI of Figure 4A with different configuration values. As Figure 5A shows, in this configuration the control type is set for a stiff control, i.e., the smoothness value is 10%, and so the response time control is set to a corresponding value for a fast response time, i.e., the response is set at 90%. The resulting step response of the system is shown in Figure 4D, described below.

Figure 5B displays a signal trace of a step response of the system according to the configuration shown in Figure 5A. As the signal trace of Figure 4D shows, the step response is characterized by an initial ~70% overshoot of the setpoint (SP) value of 1000, followed by a rapidly diminishing oscillation around the setpoint, or equilibrium target value. Note that in this configuration the system settles to the setpoint more quickly than the system did in Figure 4B, as expected. Thus, in this configuration, the system controller settings minimize response time (quick response) with a corresponding increase in overshoot (high stiffness).

Figure 6A illustrates the GUI of Figure 4A with still different configuration values. As Figure 6A shows, in this configuration the control type is set for a smooth control, i.e., the smoothness value is 90%, and so the response time control is set to a corresponding value for a slow response time, i.e., the response is set at 10%. The resulting step response of the system is shown in Figure 6B, described below.

Figure 6B displays a signal trace of a step response of the system according to the configuration shown in Figure 6A. As the signal trace of Figure 6B shows, the step response is characterized by an initial ~10% overshoot of the setpoint (SP) value of 1000, followed by a relatively slow settling to the setpoint. Note that in this configuration the system settles to the setpoint significantly more slowly than the system did in Figure 4B or Figure 5B, as expected. Thus, in this configuration, the system controller settings minimize overshoot (less stiffness) at the expense of a quick response time (slow response).

Thus, in various embodiments of the system and method described above, the user may qualitatively specify the operational characteristics of a PID control system by providing input parameters which are used to configure the PID controller autotuning algorithm. Furthermore, the system and method described herein provides a Graphical User
5 Interface which greatly simplifies the process of autotuning a system according to the users needs. This provides for great flexibility in applying PID control to a variety of different systems as compared to prior art autotuning systems and methods.

Although the system and method of the present invention has been described in
10 connection with specific embodiments, it is not intended to be limited to the specific forms set forth herein, but on the contrary, it is intended to cover such alternatives, modifications, and equivalents, as can be reasonably included within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

000211 26891760